



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,885	11/13/2003	Masashi Shiraishi	010997A	6110
23850	7590 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			TUPPER, ROBERT S	
1725 K STRE	EET, NW			5 - P.D. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2652	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/21/200	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/705,885	SHIRAISHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert S Tupper	2652		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 39-64 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 39-41,46-48,50-53,58-60 and 62-64 is 7) Claim(s) 42-45, 49, 54-57, and 61 is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according and according and according according and according and according according and according according and according	wn from consideration.  s/are rejected.  sed to.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) \( \subseteq \) objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2652

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 39-41, 46-48, 50-53, 58-60, and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BONIN (6,351,354).

Note figures 4 and 5. BONIN shows a disk drive head suspension having a microactuator (40) mounting a slider (24) with at least one thin film head. The microactuator (40) is of a lesser thickness than the slider (24). The microactuator has an outer frame (64) which is the base, and two movable arms (56,58), one end of which are attached to sides of the slider via an inner frame (66) which are the fixing sections using an adhesive and solder. The microactuator with movable arms is roughly U-shaped, and air gaps exist between the movable arms and the slider except for the fixing sections.

BONIN differs in not configuring the frame with coupling sections having an obtuse or smooth plane shape as recited in independent claims 39 and 51.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the frame of the microactuator with coupling sections having an obtuse or smooth plane shape. The motivation is as follows: providing increased material at the intersection of a base and an arm (i.e. gussets) is very well known and commonly used practice. The exact shape (e.g. smooth arc, single plane,

that these are not patentably distinct.

Art Unit: 2652

plural plane, etc) used are simply art recognized equivalents that operate in the same

Page 3

manner and produce the same results without any unexpected results.

3. It is noted that the specification identifies the obtuse angle and smooth plane configurations as different embodiments. Since Applicant has listed these as alternatives in both independent claims, the Examiner has treated this as an admission

- 4. Claims 42-45, 49, 54-57, and 62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "BONIN could not be modified to have the obtuse or smooth plane shape because of limited clearance between slider 24 and arms 56,58 at the corners".

The Examiner does not agree. BONIN clearly provides adequate clearance to encompass the movements of the slider encountered in the operation of the disk drive.

This clearance has not be exaggerated in the drawings in BONIN, as done by Applicant.

Further, the Examiner notes that Applicant has not disclosed or claimed any critical clearance dimensions.

Art Unit: 2652

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2652

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst